| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  |   |
| 4  |   |
| 5  |   |
| 6  |   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 10 | SCOTT N. JOHNSON,   |
| 11 | Plaintiff, No. 2:07-cv-02514 KJM EFB  |
| 12 | V.  |
| 13 | CARL KARCHER ENTERPRISES,<br>INC. Individually and dba CARL'S   |
| 14 | JR. #231, et al.,   |
| 15 | Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>  |
| 16 |   |
| 17 | Following a status conference in this matter conducted on April 27, 2011, a                               |
| 18 | settlement conference was ordered to be held before the undersigned on June 21, 2011, at 9:00             |
| 19 | a.m., in Courtroom 25. (See Order, May 2, 2011, at 1, Dkt. No. 42.) The parties lodged non-               |
| 20 | confidential settlement conference memoranda with the undersigned and represented that they               |
| 21 | have exchanged the same.  |
| 22 | Presently before the undersigned is defendants' application to be excused from                            |
| 23 | personal appearance at the settlement conference on the grounds that in light of representations in       |
| 24 | the settlement memoranda regarding plaintiff's initial settlement demand: (1) "Defendants are             |
| 25 | not inclined to settle with Plaintiff at this point in time"; (2) the "costs for preparing for, traveling |
| 26 | to, and attending the scheduling conference in person is simply more than Defendants would                |
|    | 1   |
|    |   |

offer Plaintiff to settle this matter"; and (3) as a result, "a personal appearance by Defendants
 would be counterproductive to any meaningful settlement discussion." (See Application at 2,
 Dkt. No. 45.)

The undersigned denies defendants' application for relief from personal
appearance at the scheduling conference. Defendants represented in the Joint Status Report that
they were "open to an early settlement conference," and it appears from the court's docket that
defendants confirmed this representation at the status conference. (Joint Status Report at 4, Dkt.
No. 39; Minutes, Apr. 27, 2011, Dkt. No. 41 ("The parties confirm they are open to
settlement.").) If defendants did not truly wish to engage in early settlement negotiations, their
counsel should not have represented as much to the court.

11

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Defendants application to be excused from personal appearance at the
 settlement conference (Dkt. No. 45) is denied.

Counsel for defendants shall appear at the settlement conference on
 June 21, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 25. As provided in Local Rule 270(f)(1), defendants'
 "counsel shall be accompanied in person by a person capable of disposition, or shall be fully
 authorized to settle the matter at the settlement conference on any terms."

2

IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 DATED: June 16, 2011

KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

KJN:nkd