(PC) Cottrell	v. Cook et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	MICHAEL M. COTTRELL,		
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-07-2574 GEB EFB P	
12	VS.		
13	R. K. WONG, et al.,		
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15		/	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action		
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.		
19	On September 17, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any		
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fifteen days. Neither		
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
25	ORDERED that:		
26	////		
		1	

Doc. 54

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed September 17, 2009, are adopted in
2	full;
3	2. The November 7, 2008, motion to dismiss on the ground that plaintiff failed to
4	exhaust the available administrative remedies with respect to his claims against Plainer, Cook
5	and Wong is granted;
6	3. Plaintiff's claims against Plainer, Cook and Wong are dismissed without
7	prejudice;
8	4. Plaintiff's claims against Huston in his official capacity are dismissed; and,
9	5. Defendant Huston is directed to file an answer to the complaint within 30 days.
10	Dated: December 22, 2009
11	105001
12	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
13	United States District Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
23 26	