

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL M. COTTRELL,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-07-2574 GEB EFB P

vs.

R.K. WONG, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____/

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 16, 2010, defendants submitted a motion to conduct plaintiff's deposition via video-conference, in order to avoid travel expenditures that would be incurred if defense counsel were required to travel to plaintiff's place of incarceration. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(4) (providing that, on motion, the court may order that a deposition be taken by remote means). Plaintiff has filed no opposition to the request.

Good cause appearing, it is ORDERED that defendants' request to take plaintiff's deposition by video-conference is granted.

DATED: August 11, 2010.


EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE