1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MICHAEL M. COTTRELL,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-2574 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	R.K. WONG, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On April 5, 2011, defendant filed a motion for terminating sanctions pursuant to Fed. R.
17	Civ. P. 37(a)(3)(B). Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.
18	A responding party's failure "to file written opposition or to file a statement of no
19	opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may
20	result in the imposition of sanctions." L. R. 230(1). Failure to comply with any order or with the
21	Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or
22	Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." L. R. 110. The court may recommend this
23	action be dismissed with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if plaintiff disobeys an order or the
24	
	Local Rules. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1252 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not
25	Local Rules. <i>See Ferdik v. Bonzelet</i> , 963 F.2d 1258, 1252 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in dismissing <i>pro se</i> plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file

1

F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for *pro se* plaintiff's failure to comply with local
 rule regarding notice of change of address affirmed);

Plaintiff is advised that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion, and that failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation of dismissal.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, within 30 days of the date of this order,
plaintiff shall file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of non-opposition.
Failure to comply with this order will result in this action being dismissed without prejudice.
DATED: May 24, 2011.

- Fil m

EĎMUND F. BRĚNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE