1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MICHAEL M. COTTRELL,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-2574 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	R.K. WONG, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. On April 5, 2011, defendant filed a motion for terminating sanctions pursuant to
18	Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(3)(B). Plaintiff did not oppose the motion.
19	Accordingly, on May 24, 2011, the court advised plaintiff of the requirements for filing
20	an opposition to the motion, that failure to file a written opposition or a statement of no
21	opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the motion, gave plaintiff 30 days to
22	file an opposition or statement of non-opposition and warned him that failure to do so could
23	result in dismissal. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has
24	not filed an opposition or a statement of no opposition.
25	Plaintiff has disobeyed this court's orders and failed to prosecute this action. The
26	appropriate sanction is dismissal without prejudice.
	1

Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: July 12, 2011.

his m

EDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE