1 2 3	SCOTT W. PINK (Bar No. 122383) DLA PIPER LLP (US) 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2400 Sacramento, CA 95814-4428 Tel: 916.930.3200 Fax: 916.930.3201		
4	BARRY M. HELLER (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
5	JOHN J. DWYER (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) DLA PIPER LLP (US)		
6	1775 Wiehle Avenue, Suite 400 Reston, VA 20190-5159		
7	Tel: 703.773.4000 Fax: 703.773.5000		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Cross-Defendants		
9	Ben & Jerry's Franchising, Inc. and Ben & Jerry's Homemade Inc.		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	BEN & JERRY'S FRANCHISING, INC.,	CASE NO. 2:07-CV-02599-JAM-KJM	
14	et al.,	ORDER ON JOINT EX PARTE	
15	Plaintiffs,	APPLICATION TO EXTEND FILING AND HEARING DATES FOR MOTIONS FOR	
16	V.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
17	MPA GROUP, INC. and MEHRDAD PORGHAVAMI, et al.,		
18	Defendants.		
19	MEHRDAD PORGHAVAMI, et al.,		
20	Cross-Complainants,		
21	v.		
22	BEN & JERRY'S FRANCHISING INC.,		
23	BEN & JERRY'S HOMEMADE INC., BEN & JERRY'S OF CALIFORNIA, INC.		
24	and WONDER ICE CREAM, LLC,		
25	Cross-Defendants.		
26	On July 17, 2009, Plaintiffs/Cross-Defendants Ben & Jerry's Homemade, Inc. and Ben &		
27	Jerry's Franchising, Inc. (collectively, "Ben & Jerry's") and Cross-Defendant Wonder Ice Cream,		
DLA PIPER LLP (US)			
Los Angeles	[PROPOSED] ORDER ON JOINT EX PARTE APPLICATION EAST\42503455.1		

1	LLC ("Wonder") submitted a Joint Ex Parte Application to Extend Filing and Hearing Dates for		
2	Motions for Summary Judgment in this case (the "Application") The Court has considered the		
3	Application and reviewed the record in the case. Being so informed, the Court finds GOOD		
4	CAUSE and GRANTS the Application. Accordingly,		
5	IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that:		
6 7	The date for filing dispositive motions, including motions for summary judgment, is		
8	extended from August 5, 2009 to a date at least thirty (30) days from the date of this Court's		
9	ruling on Ben & Jerry's and Wonder's respective motions to dismiss/strike the answer and cross-		
10	complaint of Defendant/Cross-Complainant MPA Group, Inc. for failure to notice appearance of		
11	counsel (the "Motions for Lack of Counsel").		
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:		
13	The Court shall set a specific date by which dispositive motions, including motions for		
14			
15	summary judgment, must be filed and a specific date on which such motions shall be heard		
16 17	following a ruling by the Court on the Motions for Lack of Counsel.		
18			
19	Dated: July 20, 2009 /s/ John A. Mendez		
20	Honorable John A. Mendez		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 DLA PIPER LLP (US)	-2-		
Los Angeles	[PROPOSED] ORDER ON JOINT EX PARTE APPLICATION EAST\42503455.1		