1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.		
2	Attorney General of California PAUL REYNAGA, State Bar No. 72361		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ELIZABETH A. LINTON, State Bar No. 231619		
4	Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 323-8549 Fax: (916) 324-5567		
7	E-mail: Elizabeth.Linton@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for CA Dept. of Mental Health		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12			
13	GREGORY D. GRANT,	07-CV-2700-JAM-JFM	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER AMENDING THE COURT'S	
15	v.	SCHEDULING ORDER	
16	SCHWARZENEGGER, ET AL.,		
17	Defendant.	Action Filed: Dec. 14, 2007	
18			
19	The parties to this action hereby stipulate t	brough their attorneys to extend the dates to the	
20	The parties to this action hereby stipulate through their attorneys to extend the dates to the		
21	Court's scheduling order, as outlined below. The parties respectfully request that the Court order		
22	the amendments to the scheduling order set forth herein.		
23	The modification to the scheduling order is necessary because this action was filed in pro		
24	per, and the Court recently ordered counsel appointed on May 19, 2010. The current discovery		
25	deadline in the case is July 2, 2010. The attorneys have conferred regarding discovery they need		
26	to conduct. Given Plaintiff counsel's recent appointment to the case, the parties do not believe		
27	that they can complete discovery before July 2, 2010. Accordingly, the parties request a three		
28	month extension of the current discovery deadline to October 1, 2010. Since both parties are now 1		
	Stipulation & Order Amendi	ng the Court's Scheduling Order (07-CV-2700-JAM-JFM)	

represented by counsel, the parties propose that all future discovery comply with time limits set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rather than the time-frames set forth in the Court's original scheduling order, dated April 6, 2010 (DKT # 29).

In addition, the parties both believe that expert discovery will be necessary in this case. The parties have discussed an expert discovery schedule, and believe that they can complete expert discovery, including disclosures and reports required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2), within the dates set forth in the chart below.

Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court order the following modifications to its scheduling order:

Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Factual Discovery & Factual Discovery Motions Cut-Off	July 2, 2010	October 1, 2010
Expert Designations & Reports	None	October 29, 2010
Rebuttal Designations & Reports	None	November 24, 2010
Expert Discovery Cut-Off	None	December 20, 2010
Pre-Trial Motion Cut-Off	September 24, 2010	February 18, 2011

Consistent with the Court's original scheduling order, pretrial conference and trial dates will be set, as appropriate, following adjudication of any dispositive motions or upon the expiration of time for filing such motions.

IT IS SO STIPULATED

/// ///

///

///

///

1	Dated: June 7, 2010	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California
2 3		PAUL REYNAGA Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4		
5		/s/ Elizabeth Linton ELIZABETH A. LINTON
6		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for CA Dept. of Mental Health
7		Thorneys for CH Dept. of memai fream
8	Dated: June 7, 2010	
9		/s/ Joe Ramsey, Esq.
10		(as authorized on 6/7/2010) JOE RAMSEY, ESQ.
11		Attorney for Grant Gregory
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED	
14		
15		/s/ John E. Moulds
16	5	/s/ John F. Moulds John F. Moulds U.S. Magistrate Judge
17	7	O.S. Magistrate Judge
18	3	
19		
20		
21		
22	/gran2700.stip	
23	3	
24	1	
25	5	
26	5	
27		
28		2
		ng the Court's Scheduling Order (07-CV-2700-JAM-JFM)