(HC)Sharp v	. Sisto
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DARRELL T. SHARP,
11	Petitioner, No. 2: 07-cv-2756 MCE KJN P
12	VS.
13	D. K. SISTO, et al.,
14	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	On July 9, 2010, the undersigned reopened this action and ordered respondent to
17	show cause for his failure to file a timely answer. On July 9, 2010, respondent filed an answer, a
18	motion to lift the stay and a response to the order to show cause.
19	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
20	1. The order to show cause is discharged;
21	2. The motion to lift the stay (Dkt. No. 15) is denied as unnecessary as this action
22	has been reopened;
23	
24	
25	
26	

Doc. 17

1	3. Petitioner may file a reply to the answer within twenty-eight days of the date of
2	this order.
3	DATED: August 23, 2010
4	
5	
6	KENDALL J. NEWMAN
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	sh2756.ord
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	