

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANA LAWRENCE SINGER,

Petitioner,

vs.

D. K. SISTO, Warden, California State  
Prison, Solano,

Respondent.

No. 2:08-cv-00048-JKS

ORDER

Petitioner Dana Lawrence Singer has filed a Petition for Habeas Corpus Relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, alleging that he is being unlawfully denied release to parole status. Since briefing in the case was completed, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, sitting en banc, decided *Hayward v. Marshall*, 603 F.3d 546 (9th Cir. 2010) (en banc). The decision in *Hayward* significantly impacted the central issue in this case. This Court has determined that supplemental briefing by the parties addressing the impact of *Hayward* on this case is necessary. Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

1. On or before **August 6, 2010**, each party must separately serve and file a brief, not exceeding 15 pages in length, setting forth the party's position on the impact of *Hayward* on this case, in particular that "[t]he prisoner's aggravated offense does not establish current dangerousness 'unless the record also establishes that something in the prisoner's pre- or post-

incarceration history, or his or her current demeanor and mental state' supports the inference of dangerousness."<sup>1</sup>

2. Respondent must specifically identify those characteristics, other than the underlying commitment offense, that support a finding that release of the Petitioner to parole status poses a current threat to public safety, *and* point to the specific evidence in the record that supports that determination.

3. Not later than 21 days after briefs in paragraph 1 are served and filed, each party may serve and file a reply brief, not to exceed 10 pages in length, addressing those matters addressed in the other party's opening brief. *The reply brief may not simply reiterate or restate arguments or issues covered in the party's opening brief.*

Dated: July 6, 2010.

/s/ James K. Singleton, Jr.  
JAMES K. SINGLETON, JR.  
United States District Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> *Hayward*, 603 F.3d at 562. The parties should also consider the effect of the subsequent decisions of the Ninth Circuit in *Pearson v. Muntz*, 606 F.3d 606 (9th Cir. 2010) (per curiam), and *Cooke v. Solis*, 606 F.3d 1206 (9th Cir. 2010).