1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOSEPH M. LEYVA,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-0076 LKK KJM P
12	VS.
13	MORENO, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
	seeking rener under 42 0.5.0. § 1905. The matter was referred to a Officer States Magistrate
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
18 19	
	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On June 23, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
19 20	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On June 23, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any
19 20 21	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On June 23, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither
19 20 21 22	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On June 23, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither side has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
19 20 21 22 23	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On June 23, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither side has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On June 23, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither side has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On June 23, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither side has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed June 23, 2010, are adopted in full; and 2. Defendant's motion to dismiss is denied. DATED: August 31, 2010. WRENCE K. KARLT SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT