1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MICHAEL A. HUNT,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-0181 MCE CKD P
12	VS.
13	M. REYES, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this action
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 29, 2011, defendants Field and Rios filed a motion
18	to extend the dispositive motion deadline by forty-five days, from December 30, 2011 to
19	February 13, 2012. (Dkt. No. 61.)
20	A schedule may be modified upon a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b).
21	Good cause exists when the moving party demonstrates he cannot meet the deadline despite
22	exercising due diligence. Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir.
23	1992). Defendants state that defense counsel took plaintiff's deposition on November 17, 2011
24	and received the deposition transcript on December 10, 2011. Defendants further state that, per
25	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(e), plaintiff had until January 4, 2012 to make any changes to
26	his deposition testimony, and that this testimony is necessary to defendants' motion for summary
	1

1

1	judgment. Defendants add that defense counsel has been working diligently in completing the
2	summary judgment motion, but needs additional time to acquire declarations from his clients.
3	Good cause having been shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. Defendants' December 29, 2011 motion to modify the scheduling order (Dkt.
5	No. 61) is granted;
6	2. Defendants' dispositive motion is due on or before February 13, 2012.
7	3. Plaintiff filed a dispositive motion on December 20, 2011; however, plaintiff
8	may amend or supplement his summary judgment motion on or before February 13, 2012 if he so
9	chooses.
10	Dated: January 10, 2012
11	Carop U. Delany
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	2 hunt0181.ord3
17	
18 19	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I