-JFM	(PC) S	Seefeldt v.	CA Depa	rtment of	Corrections	& Rehabil	itation,	et al.,

1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	BRIAN S. SEEFELDT,						
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:08-cv-0232 GEB JFM (PC)						
12	VS.						
13	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND						
14	REHABILITATION, et al.,						
15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>						
16	/						
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action						
18	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate						
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.						
20	On [date], the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which						
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the						
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither party has filed						
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.						
24	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and						
25	recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility						
26	/////						
	1						

to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f),
 service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
ORDERED that:

The findings and recommendations filed May 4, 2011, are adopted in full; and
 This action is dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

Dated: May 18, 2011

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. United States District Judge