1 2	Joel W. Mohrman, Texas Bar No. 14253500, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Anderson L. Cao, Texas Bar No. 24031910, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> MCGLINCHEY STAFFORD PLLC 1001 McKinney, Suite 1500 Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: 713.520.1900 Facsimile: 713.520.1025		
3			
4			
5	M. Taylor Florence, State Bar No. 159695 Michael S. Wilcox, SBN 215269 BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC 1415 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: 916.930.2500 Facsimile: 916.930.2501		
6			
7			
8			
9	Attorneys for Defendant ALBEMARLE CORPORATION		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
13 14	ENVIRO TECH CHEMICAL SERVICES, INC., a California corporation,	Case No.: 2:08-CV-00374 KJM	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY THE JUNE 11, 2009	
16	vs.	SCHEDULING ORDER	
17	ALBEMARLE CORPORATION, a Virginia corporation,		
18	Defendant.		
19			
20	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM.		
21	Defendant Albemarle Corporation and Plaintiff Enviro Tech Chemical Services, Inc., by		
22	and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate, pursuant to Local Rule 83-143, and		
23	mutually request to further extend certain dates appearing in the current Modified Scheduling		
24	Order, document no. 38, filed on June 11, 2009.		
25	The parties exchanged initial disclosures on November 7, 2008. As required by the		
26	present Scheduling Order, Plaintiff disclosed asserted claims and preliminary infringement		
27	contentions on March 16, 2009. The parties thereafter agreed to seek to extend all scheduled		
28	dates as needed so as to continue settlement negotiations and avoid further discovery.		
	_	-1-	

1	The parties are now on the verge of finalizing their long-negotiated settlement agreement		
2	and believe that only a final meeting and exchange of data is necessary to conclude this matter.		
3	As such, they do not wish to expend resources on the disclosure of litigation contentions or the		
4	production of documents and, therefore, ask the Court, one further time, to extend the remaining		
5	dates in the Scheduling Order by 30 days. The parties believe that such an extension will		
6	conserve judicial resources.		
7	DATED: August 17, 2009 MCGLINCHEY STAFFORD, PLLC		
8	WICOLINCHET STAFFORD, FLLC		
9	Dry /o/ Ical W. Mahaman		
10	By /s/ Joel W. Mohrman Joel W. Mohrman Attorneys for Defendent AL BEMARIE		
11	Attorneys for Defendant ALBEMARLE CORPORATION, a Virginia corporation		
12	DATED: August 17, 2009 BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC		
13	BOLLIVANT HOUSER BAILET TO		
14	By /s/ Michael S. Wilcox		
15	M. Taylor Florence Michael S. Wilcox		
16	Attorneys for Defendant ALBEMARLE CORPORATION, a Virginia corporation		
17	DATED: August 17, 2009		
18	WEINTRAUB GENSHLEA CHEDIAK		
19			
20	By <u>/s/ Audrey A. Millemann</u> Audrey A. Millemann		
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRO TECH CHEMICAL SERVICES, INC., a California		
22	corporation		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-2-		

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation of the parties, the current scheduling order is	
3	modified as follows:	
4 5	1. March 16, 2009	Plaintiff filed its disclosure of asserted claims and preliminary infringement contentions with supporting documents.
6 7		After this date , it is necessary to obtain leave of court to add and/or amend infringement contentions.
8 9		Join additional parties . It is not necessary to file a motion to join additional parties before this date. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of court to join additional parties.
10		Add new patents and/or claims for patents-in-suit. It is not necessary to file a motion to add patents or claims
11 12	2. September 15, 2009	Defendant to file its preliminary invalidity contentions with supporting documents. Thereafter, it is necessary to
13		obtain leave of court to add and/or amend invalidity contentions.
14 15		Add any inequitable conduct allegations to pleadings. Before this date, it is not necessary to file a motion for leave to add inequitable conduct allegations to pleadings.
16		Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of court to add inequitable conduct allegations to pleadings.
17		Deadline for initial document production.
18 19	3. October 5, 2009	Parties to exchange proposed terms and claim elements for construction.
20	4. October 19, 2009	Parties to exchange preliminary claim constructions and identify extrinsic evidence. Privilege logs to be exchanged
21 22		by parties (or a letter to the court stating that there are no disputes as to claims of privileged documents).
23	5. November 30, 2009	Parties to file their joint claim construction and prehearing statement. The statement is to include an expert witness designation and report on claims construction issues under
24		Rule 26(a)(2).
2526	6. November 30, 2009	Deadline for amended pleadings.
27	7. December 14, 2009	Responses to amended pleadings due.
28		
		-3-

1	8. December 21, 2009	Parties to complete discovery on claim construction, including expert depositions.
3	9. January 19, 2010	Plaintiff to file its claim construction brief with supporting evidence. Two sets of binders to be provided to the court.
4 5	10. February 2, 2010	Defendant to file its responsive claim construction brief with supporting evidence. Two sets of binders to be provided to the court.
6 7	11. February 9, 2010	Plaintiff to file its reply claim construction brief with supporting evidence. Two sets of binders to be provided to the court.
8	12. February 23, 2010	Parties to submit claim construction chart in WordPerfect 8.0 (or higher) format.
9	13. April 16, 2010	Markman hearing to be held beginning at 9:00 a.m. before Judge Mueller.
11	If further proceedings are necessary after the <i>Markman</i> hearing, a further status	
12	conference will be set to establish the further discovery, pre-trial and trial schedule.	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
14	DATED: August 20, 2009.	10 A 10, 10
15	11856363.1	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		