I

1	Geri Lynn Green, (State Bar No. 127709) LAW OFFICES OF GERI LYNN GREEN _{1C}		
2	A Law Corporation 155 Montgomery Street, Suite #901		
3	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 982-2600		
4	Facsimile: (415) 358-4562 Email: gerilynngreen@gmail.com		
5			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SHERIE LEMIRE individually and as personal representative for the ESTATE OF ROBERT ST. JOVITE; GERARD CHARLES ST. JOVITE and NICOLE ST. JOVITE		
7	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
8	Attorney General of the State of California DAVID A. CARRASCO		
9	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
10	DIANA ESQUIVEL, State Bar No. 202954 Deputy Attorney General		
11	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
12	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-4928		
13	Facsimile: (916) 324-5205 E-mail: Diana.Esquivel@doj.ca.gov		
14	Attorneys for Defendants		
15	Alcaraz, Cahoon, California Department of Correc Hak, Hicks, Holliday, LaBonte, Martinez, Nuehrin Soliz, Tilton, Traquina, Wade, and Wong		
16			
17	IN THE UNITED STATE EASTERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SACRAMENTO	D DIVISION	
19	SHERIE LEMIRE individually and) Case No. 2:08-CV-00455-GEB-EFB	
20	as personal representative for the ESTATE OF)	
21	ROBERT ST. JOVITE; GERARD CHARLES ST. JOVITE and NICOLE ST. JOVITE) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO FILE) FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT	
22	Dlaintiffa)	
23	Plaintiffs, vs.)	
24	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.)	
25			
26	Defendants.)	
27			
28			
	Case No. 2:08-CV-00455-GEB-EFB		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO	FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT	
		Destate	

Pursuant to Local Rule 83-143 the parties stipulate as follows:

Pursuant to Stipulation, Plaintiffs amended their complaint on January 1, 2009. Since that ime, Plaintiffs have served all those Defendants they have named except Defendants Thor, Hill, and Harris. On or about October 21, 2009, the parties stipulated to allow Plaintiffs to file the Third Amended Complaint which did not seek to add any new defendants, merely to clarify the alleged involvement of the already named defendants. The Court so ordered, and Plaintiffs filed the Third Amended Complaint on October 27, 2009. The Court ordered Defendants to respond to the amended complaint by November 26, 2009. 10

In a meet and confer discussion with defense counsel, it became apparent that Plaintiff had, 11 12 through computer and operator error, inadvertently and mistakenly e-filed the wrong complaint, 13 which added parties not intended to be added and deleted others. The parties therefore stipulate 14 to the filing of the attached Fourth Amended Complaint to correct these errors. 15

Concomitant with this filing, the parties agree to the dismissal of Defendants Haviland, 16 Cate, Hill, Harris, Thor, Soliz and LaBonte and all allegations and claims against them. The 17 18 parties further agree and stipulate that these Defendants will seek no costs or attorney's fees and 19 that no costs or fees will be awarded.

The proposed Fourth Amended Complaint re-inserts the erroneously removed Defendant 21 then-Warden D. K. Sisto as a defendant, who had already appeared in this action, and defense 22 counsel agrees to continue to accept service on his behalf. 23

24 Accordingly, the parties agree to allow Plaintiffs to file the attached Fourth Amended 25 Complaint in order to properly clarify the parties and remedy the errors.

26 27

11

20

The parties further agree that Defendants will be excused from responding to the Third 28 Amended Complaint, and Defendants will respond to the Fourth Amended Complaint within the

1	time provided under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15.	
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3		
4		
5	Dated: November 19, 2009 Respectfully submitted,	
6	LAW OFFICES OF GERI LYNN GREEN _{LC}	
7 8	By: <u>/S/ 0000 00000</u> Geri Lynn Green (State Bar No. 127709)	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
10	Dated: November 19, 2009OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
11 12	By: <u>/S/ [][][][]</u> Attorney for Defendants	
13	ORDER	
14	Plaintiffs are hereby allowed to file the Fourth Amended Complaint and that amended	
15	complaint must be filed no later than three days from the date of this order. Defendants are	
16		
17	excused from responding to the Third Amended Complaint and shall file their response to the	
18	Fourth Amended Complaint within the time specified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15.	
19	Defendants Haviland, Cate, Hill, Harris, Thor, Soliz and LaBonte are hereby dismissed with	
20	prejudice, and each party shall bear its own costs and fees.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		
23	Dated: November 25, 2009	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	Case No. 2:08-CV-00455-GEB-EFB STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT	