(PC) Clay v.	Fairfield Police Department et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ERIC CLAY,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-0573 KJM
12	Vs.
13	FAIRFIELD POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	Defendants. ORDER
	Digintiff is a state prisoner who is preceeding are so and in forms neurosis. By
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner who is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis. By
17	order filed December 5, 2008, plaintiff was ordered to file an opposition, if any, to defendants'
18	August 6, 2008 Motion to Dismiss within twenty day. The twenty day period has now expired,
19	and plaintiff has not filed an opposition or otherwise responded to the court's order.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed.
21	DATED: January 29, 2009.
22	pa/clay0573.ord U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23	pa/clay0573.ord U.S. MIAGISTRATE JUDGE
24	•
25	
26	

Doc. 22