

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Kennard Davis,	
	Plaintiff,
v.	
James Walker, et al.,	
	Defendants.

No. 2:08-cv-0593-KJM-DB

Kennard Davis,	
	Plaintiff,
v.	
James Walker, et al.,	
	Defendants.

No. 2:10-cv-2139-KJM-DB

ORDER

Plaintiff Kennard Davis requests reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge’s orders in the cases above, which directed defendants to respond to plaintiff’s motion to be restored to competency and to “propose a roadmap for going forward,” and the Magistrate Judge permitted plaintiff to reply. See Order (June 30, 2023), Case No. 08-0593, ECF No. 305; Case No. 10-2139, ECF No. 384; Mot. Recons., Case No. 08-0593, ECF No. 308; Case No. 10-2139, ECF No. 384. Plaintiff does not explain the reasoning behind his request for reconsideration.

1 The court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's orders and perceives neither clear error nor any
2 decision contrary to law. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); 29 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). The motions for
3 reconsideration are **denied**.

4 This order resolves ECF No. 308 in Case No. 08-0593, and ECF No. 384 in Case
5 No. 10-2139.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED: August 10, 2023.
8
9



CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE