(HC) Rogers v. Curry et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FRANKIE L. ROGERS,	
11	Petitioner, N	o. CIV S-08-0722-WBS-KJN-P
12	vs.	
13	BEN CURRY, et al.,	
14	Respondents. O	<u>PRDER</u>
15	/	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
19	On February 23, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither	
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26	////	
		1

Doc. 19

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed February 23, 2010, are adopted in
2	full;
3	2. Respondents' May 29, 2009, motion to dismiss is granted; and
4	3. This is hereby DISMISSED for the reason that it is barred by the statute of
5	limitations.
6	DATED: April 20, 2010
7	william of shibt
8	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	roge0722.801hc
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	