1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH TYRONE WILLIAMS,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-08-0809 JAM DAD P

11 vs.

12 ANTHONY KANE, et al.,

13 Respondents.

ORDER

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's August 2, 2010, request for appointment of counsel (Doc. No. 14) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of the proceedings.

DATED: August 25, 2010.

25

26

DAD:kly will0809.110 DALE A DROPE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE