1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 MARCARIO BELEN DAGDAGAN, 2:08-cv-0922-GEB-GGH 11 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' 12 EX PARTE APPLICATION TO MODIFY THE PRE-TRIAL (STATUS) SCHEDULING ORDER 13 CITY OF VALLEJO; VALLEJO OFFICER J.) WENTZ (ID #524); VALLEJO OFFICER JOHN BOYD (ID #589); and DOES 1-30,) 14 15 Defendants. 16 17 Defendants filed an ex parte motion to modify the Rule 16 18 Scheduling Order to allow more time for expert witness discovery. 19 Plaintiff opposes the request, arguing good cause has not been shown to justify the requested modification. However, trial is not 20 21 scheduled to commence in this action until August 3, 2010, and in 22 light of the unusual time-gap between the trial and other scheduled 23 dates, judicial time need not be invested analyzing whether good cause exists to modify the scheduling order. Therefore, the Rule 16 24 Scheduling Order is modified as follows: 25 26 Expert discovery shall be completed by February 11, 27 2010; 28

1	(2) the last hearing date for motions is March 22, 2010, at
2	9:00 a.m.; and
3	(3) the final pretrial conference is set for May 24, 2010,
4	at 2:30 p.m.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: November 10, 2009
7	$A_{11} \subset D_{11}$
8	GARLAND E. BURREIL, JR.
9	GARLAND E. BURREIL, JR. United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	