(PC) Vice v.	v. Walker	
1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	0 HERBERT C. VICE,	
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:0	8-cv-0940 LKK JFM (PC)
12	2 vs.	
13	JAMES WALKER,	
14	Defendant. ORDE	<u>R</u>
15	5	
16	On January 28, 2011, defendant filed a motion for summary judgment pursuant to	
17	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.	
18	Local Rule 230(1) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written	
19	opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to	
20	the granting of the motion" On January 22, 2009, plaintiff was advised of the requirements	
21	for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a	
22	waiver of opposition to the motion.	
23	Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be	
24	grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the	
25	inherent power of the Court." In the order filed January 22, 2009, plaintiff was advised that	
26	6 /////	
	1	

Doc. 50

failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any he has, to the motion for summary judgment or a statement of non-opposition. Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

DATED: March 15, 2011.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

vice0940.46osc