

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 FREDERICK MARC COOLEY,

11 Plaintiff,

No. 2:08-cv-0976-FCD-JFM (PC)

12 vs.

13 GERALDINE THOMPSON, et al.,

14 Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by  
17 the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 83-182(f), which  
18 requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for  
20 plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 83-182(f) and  
21 11-110.

22 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District  
23 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty  
24 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written  
25 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's  
26 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the

1 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
2 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 DATED: January 14, 2009.

4  
5   
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 12  
8 cool0976.33a  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26