(HC) Apodaca v. Hartley		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	GREGORY ALBERT APODACA,	
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-08-1048 FCD GGH P
12	VS.	
13	JAMES D. HARTLEY, et al.,	
14	Respondents.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
19	On January 29, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither	
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 21

1. The findings and recommendations filed January 29, 2009, are adopted in full; and 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied. DATED: April 2, 2009. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE