

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CIV.S. 08-1050- JAM GGH

vs.

REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT
3115 CLAREMONT DRIVE,
OROVILLE, CALIFORNIA, BUTTE
COUNTY, APN:079-010-012-0,
INCLUDING ALL
APPURTENANCES AND
IMPROVEMENTS THERETO,

Defendant.

ORDER

Previously pending on this court’s law and motion calendar for October 7, 2010 was plaintiff’s motion to compel discovery. Claimants did not file an opposition. The government was represented by Kristin Door. Claimant Lacy was represented by John Balazs, who also appeared on behalf of Bruce Locke, counsel for co-claimant Howell.

After hearing oral argument and reviewing the motion, the court now issues the following order.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The government’s motion to compel answers to interrogatories and production of documents, filed September 23, 2010, is granted.

1 2. Claimants shall respond to all interrogatories and requests for production
2 without objection, except on the basis of attorney client privilege, by November 8, 2010.¹

3 DATED: October 8, 2010

4 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

5 _____
6 GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
 U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

GGH:076:USRealProp1050.mtc.wpd

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 ¹ The parties have stipulated and claimants will present a proposed order to extend the
26 current discovery cutoff to the district judge forthwith. The instant order is effective only if the
district court grants the request. If the discovery deadline is not extended, this court will consider
a remedy for claimants' lack of compliance.