(PC) William	s v. Braida et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DONALD LEE WILLIAMS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-1138 FCD KJM P
12	VS.
13	A. BRAIDA, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	The court docket reflects that this case has settled. Therefore, plaintiff's requests
17	for appointment of counsel are moot. Moreover, there is no need for the filing of pretrial
18	statements.
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motions for the
20	appointment of counsel (Docket No. 29, 33 & 34) are denied as moot.
21	DATED: January 14, 2010.
22	
23	100 000
24	Mulle
25	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	2/mp will1138.31(2)
	1

Doc. 40