I

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	WILLIAM BARKER,			
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-1160 WBS KJM P			
12	VS.			
13	J. FOSTER, et al.,			
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>			
15	/			
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with an action filed pursuant to 42			
17	U.S.C. § 1983 and the Americans with Disabilities Act. By order filed September 30, 2009, the			
18	court determined that plaintiff's amended complaint states a cognizable claim for relief against			
19	defendants Foster, Kett, Swagger, Bridges, Renetti, Biggs, Mizzen and Reyes. The court also			
20	ordered plaintiff to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis; however, that order was in			
21	error. This action was removed to this court by defendant Foster under 28 U.S.C. 1441(b), and			
22	Foster has paid the filing fee of \$350.00. ¹ Therefore plaintiff need not meet the <u>in forma</u>			
23	pauperis requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1915 in order to proceed with his claims.			
24				
25 26	¹ Because defendant Foster must have been served with the original complaint filed in state court and is already a party to this case, he need not be re-served with the amended complaint.			

1

1	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:			
2	1. Service is appropriate for the following defendants: Kett, Swagger, Bridges,			
3	Renetti, Biggs, Mizzen and Reyes.			
4	2. The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff seven USM-285 forms, one			
5	summons, an instruction sheet and a copy of the amended complaint filed February 6, 2009.			
6	3. Within thirty days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the			
7	attached Notice of Submission of Documents and submit the following documents to the court:			
8	a. The completed Notice of Submission of Documents;			
9	b. One completed summons;			
10	c. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant listed in number 1			
11	above; and			
12	d. Eight copies of the endorsed amended complaint filed February 6,			
13	2009.			
14	4. Plaintiff need not attempt service on defendants and need not request waiver o			
15	service. Upon receipt of the above-described documents, the court will direct the United States			
16	Marshal to serve the above-named defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4			
17	without payment of costs.			
18	5. The motion to proceed in forma pauperis (docket no. 20) is denied as moot.			
19	DATED: October 29, 2009.			
20	Mulle			
21	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
22	bark1160.1amd.new			
23				
24				
25				
26				
	2			

I

I

1	1				
2	2				
3	3				
4	4				
5	5				
6	6				
7	7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	WILLIAM BARKER,				
11	1Plaintiff,No. CIV S-08-1160 WBS KJ	M P			
12	12 vs.				
13	13J. FOSTER, et al.,NOTICE OF SUBMISSION				
14	4 Defendants. <u>OF DOCUMENTS</u>				
15	.5/				
16	Plaintiff hereby submits the following documents in compliance with the court's				
17	order filed:				
18	completed summons form				
19	completed USM-285 forms				
20	20 copies of the Amended Complaint				
21	21 DATED:				
22	22				
23	23 Plaintiff				
24					
25	25				
26	26				