

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 PETER A. TAYLOR,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-08-1194 GEB DAD P

12 vs.

13 D.K. SISTO, Warden,

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 On October 2, 2008, respondent's counsel filed a motion to dismiss, arguing that
17 petitioner's habeas petition is time-barred under the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty
18 Act of 1996. Counsel refers to several exhibits in the motion to dismiss. However, it appears
19 that counsel has inadvertently failed to attach the exhibits to the motion.

20 Accordingly, good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that counsel for
21 respondent shall file his exhibits within ten days of the date of this order.

22 DATED: April 9, 2009.

23
24 
25 _____
DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

26 DAD:9
tayl1194.exh