| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS, State Bar No. 146672 | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Attorney General of California JONATHAN L. WOLFF, State Bar No. 193479 | | |
| 3 | Senior Assistant Attorney General DAMON G. MCCLAIN, State Bar No. 209508 | | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 | | |
| 5 | San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5750 | | |
| 6 | Fax: (415) 703-5843 E-mail: Damon.Mcclain@doj.ca.gov | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Defendants | | |
| 8 | PRISON LAW OFFICE | | |
| 9 | DONALD SPECTER (SBN 83925) REBEKAH EVENSON (SBN 207825) | | |
| 10 | 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710-1916 | | |
| 11 | Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704 | | |
| 12 | dspecter@prisonlaw.com revenson@prisonlaw.com | | |
| 13 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Robert Mitchell | | |
| 14 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 15 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 16 | SACRAMENTO DIVISION | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | ROBERT MITCHELL, | 2:08-CV-01196 JAM EFB | |
| 19 | , | | |
| 20 | Plaintiff, | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO MODIFY DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO DESPOND TO SECOND | |
| 21 | V. | DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO SECOND- AMENDED COMPLAINT | |
| 22 | T. FELKER, et al., | Magistrate Judge: Hon. Edmund F. Brennan | |
| 23 | Defendants. | Action Filed: May 30, 2008 | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |
| | Stip. & [Proposed] Order Modify Defs.' Deadline Resp. 2d Am. Compl. (2:08-CV-01196 JAM EFB) | | |

| 1 | Plaintiff and Defendants submit this stipulation under Local Rule 144. | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | On April 27, 2011, Plaintiff Robert Mitchell filed a motion seeking leave to file a second- | |
| 3 | amended complaint. The proposed second-amended complaint would add new defendants and | |
| 4 | dismiss other defendants. On September 22, 2011, the Magistrate Judge granted Mitchell's | |
| 5 | motion and ordered Defendants to respond to the second-amended complaint within 30 days. | |
| 6 | Accordingly, Defendants' deadline to respond to the second-amended complaint is October 24, | |
| 7 | 2011. On October 7, 2011, Defendants requested reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's ruling | |
| 8 | under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a) and Local Rule 303. Since the Magistrate Judge | |
| 9 | issued his ruling, the new Defendants named in the second-amended complaint have been served. | |
| 10 | Under Local Rule 303, a Magistrate Judge's ruling becomes final after fourteen days if no | |
| 11 | reconsideration of the ruling is requested. Here, the Magistrate Judge's ruling did not become | |
| 12 | final because Defendants made a timely request for reconsideration. And if the Court has not | |
| 13 | ruled on Defendants' request for reconsideration by October 24, 2011, it will be unclear which | |
| 14 | Defendants, if any, are obligated to respond to the second-amended complaint on that date. | |
| 15 | Accordingly, the parties agree that the deadline for Defendants to respond to the second-amended | |
| 16 | complaint should be modified. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | /// | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | /// | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | /// | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | /// | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | /// | |

Stip. & [Proposed] Order Modify Defs.' Deadline Resp. 2d Am. Compl. (2:08-CV-01196 JAM EFB)

2

27

28

///

| 1 | Plaintiff and Defendants have not previously requested any extensions of time for | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | Defendants to respond to the second-amended complaint. The parties respectfully request that the | | |
| 3 | Court modify Defendants' deadline to respond to the second-amended complaint as follows: If | | |
| 4 | the Court denies Defendants' request for reconsideration, Defendants' response to Plaintiff's | | |
| 5 | second-amended complaint must be filed by October 24, 2011, or within five days after the Court | | |
| 6 | rules on Defendants' request for reconsideration, whichever date is later. And the parties further | | |
| 7 | agree that this proposed deadline, if ordered by the Court, will apply to all Defendants named in | | |
| 8 | the second-amended complaint. | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | Dated: October 12, 2011 | Respectfully submitted, | |
| 13 | | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California | |
| 14 | | /s/ Damon McClain | |
| 15 | | DAMON G. MCCLAIN Deputy Attorney General | |
| 16 | | Attorneys for Defendants | |
| 17 | Dated: October 12, 2011 | Respectfully submitted, PRISON LAW OFFICE | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | <u>/s/ Rebekah Evenson (as authorized on 10/12/11)</u> Rebekah Evenson Attornay for Plaintiff | |
| 20 | | Attorney for Plaintiff | |
| 21 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 22 | | Almin F. Birman | |
| 23 | Dated:October 19, 2011 | Edmund F. Brennan | |
| 24 | S A 2011200507 | United States Magistrate Judge | |
| 25 | SA2011300596 20538325.doc | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | 2 | |
| | 3 Stip. & [Proposed] Order Modify Defs.' Deadline Resp. 2d Am. Compl. (2:08-CV-01196 JAM EFB) | | |
| | | | |