

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7 * * *

8 JOEL MANUEL TAYLOR,) 2:08-CV-01246-PMP-GWF
9 Plaintiff,)
10 vs.)
11 CMF VACAVILLE, et al.,)
12 Defendants.)
13

14 Before the Court for consideration are two “Motions” styled Motion for
15 “the Ex Parte Young Fiction.” (Doc.’s #17 and #19). In these motions, Plaintiff
16 Taylor describes events relating to his underlying claim set forth in his Complaint
17 (Doc. #1) filed June 4, 2008. Plaintiff Taylor fails, however, to articulate precisely
18 what relief he is requesting by the instant motions. Thus the Court cannot consider a
19 request for relief as no specific request is articulated.

20 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff Joel Taylor’s Motions for
21 the “the Ex Parte Young Fiction” (Doc.’s #17 and #19) are DENIED.

22
23 Dated: February 3, 2009.

24 
25 PHILIP M. PRO
26 United States District Judge