December 12, 2008. In Burdan, the petitioner was challenging a denial of parole, and the court

26

Doc. 17

found that "a delay of 10 months for an unrepresented prison inmate to file a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the Court of Appeal, after denial of a similar petition in the superior court, [is not] unreasonable." In re Burdan, 169 Cal. App. 4th 18, 31 (2008). Because this opinion may bear directly on the magistrate judge's finding that petitioner's "unexplained 234-day delay between the state superior court's denial and the filing of his state appellate court petition was unreasonable," the court will order respondent to respond to petitioner's amended objections. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Petitioner's amended objections are deemed timely; 2. Respondent is ordered to file a response to petitioner's amended objections not later than February 6, 2009. DATED: January 29, 2009 /s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE