1	
2	
3	
4	
5 6	
0 7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RON L. NORTHRUP,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-1321 MCE GGH P
12	VS.
13	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
15	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
16	/
17	By order filed October 29, 2008, the court granted plaintiff thirty days to file an
18	amended complaint. In the October 29th order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in
19	his complaint. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended
20	complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
21	For the reasons given in the October 29, 2008, order, IT IS HEREBY
22	RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within
25	twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
26	written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate
	1

1	Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections
2	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
3	DATED: January 20, 2009
4	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
5	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
6	GGH:035 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	nort1321.fta
8	
9	
10	
11 12	
12	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2