

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 BOB SAVAGE,

11 Plaintiff,

No. 2:08-cv-1346 LKK JFM (PC)

12 vs.

13 SUZAN HUBBARD, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 On March 15, 2010, plaintiff filed a document styled as objections to the
17 magistrate judge's amended discovery and scheduling order filed March 4, 2010. The court
18 construes this as a request for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order. Pursuant to E.D.
19 Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or
20 contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the
21 magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.¹


22 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the
23 magistrate judge filed March 4, 2010, is affirmed.

24 ///

25 ///

26 _____
¹ The court informs plaintiff that the local rules were renumbered on December 1, 2009, eliminating the initial digits and hyphen. For example, former rule 16-281 is now rule 281.

1 DATED: April 7, 2010.

2
3 
4 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
5 SENIOR JUDGE
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26