(PC) Padilla v. Walker		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	IN THE UN	ITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	LUIS A. PADILLA,	
12	Plaintiff,	No. 2:08-cv-1357-MCE-JFM (PC)
13	VS.	
14	J. WALKER, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
16	/	
17	By an order filed October 30, 2008, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma	
18	pauperis affidavit within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a	
19	recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and	
20	plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.	
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District	
23	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty	
24	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written	
25	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's	
26	Findings and Recommendations." Pl	aintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
		1

Doc. 15

specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
DATED: January 13, 2009.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

//001: padi1357.fff

//001: padi1357.fff