

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SACRAMENTO DIVISION**

\* \* \*

MAURICE VAN BUREN,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff(s),	)	2:08-CV-1393-RLH (VPC)
	)	
vs.	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
SARA JOHNSON, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendant(s).	)	

---

Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (#12, entered July 29, 2009), entered by the Honorable Valerie P. Cooke regarding Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the Court's required screening of the Complaint. No objection was filed to Magistrate Judge Cooke's Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge in accordance with Local Rule 72-304(b) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, and the matter was submitted for consideration.

The court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Local Rule 72-304(b) and determines that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Cooke should be accepted and adopted.

////  
////

1                   IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommenda-  
2                   tion (#12, entered July 29, 2009) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED, Plaintiff is approved to proceed *in*  
3                   *forma pauperis*, and the Complaint is dismissed without prejudice and with leave to amend.

4                   Dated: November 24, 2009.

5                     
6                   \_\_\_\_\_  
7                   **ROGER L. HUNT**  
8                   **Chief U.S. District Judge**

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26