(PC) Motley v. S	mith et al	Doc	c. 48
1			
2			
2 3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
10	* * *		
11	EDWARD MOTLEY,)	
12	Plaintiff(s),)) 2:08-CV-01423-RLH-VPC	
13	VS.) O R D E R	
14	J. SMITH, et al.,))	
15	Defendant(s).		
16)	
17	Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate		
18	Judge (#44, filed July 19, 2010), entered by the Honorable Valerie P. Cooke, amending or correcting		
19	a previous Report and Recommendation (#15), which the District Judge adopted (#20). No		
20	objection was filed to Magistrate Judge Cooke's second Report and Recommendation of United		
21	States Magistrate Judge in accordance with Local Rule IB 3-2 of the Rules of Practice of the United		
22	States District Court for the District of Nevada, and the matter was submitted for consideration.		
23	The Court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of the record in this case in accordance		
24	with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-2 and determines that the Report and		
25	Recommendation of Magistrate Judge (#44) should be accepted and adopted.		
26	////		
		1	

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#44, entered July 19, 2010) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED, and Magistrate Judge Cooke's prior Report and Recommendation (#20) is amended as recommended.

Dated: August 10, 2010.

ROGER L. HUNT Chief U.S. District Judge