(HC) Feliz v. Sisto Doc. 9

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 ARMANDO FELIZ, 10 Petitioner, No. CIV S-08-1508 KJM P 11 12 VS. 13 D.K. SISTO, 14 Respondent. **ORDER** 15 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of 16 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has paid the filing fee. 17 Since petitioner may be entitled to the requested relief if the claimed violation of 18 19 constitutional rights is proved, respondents will be directed to file a response to petitioner's 20 application. In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 21 22 1. Respondents are directed to file a response to petitioner's application within sixty days from the date of this order. See Rule 4, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. An answer 23 shall be accompanied by any and all transcripts or other documents relevant to the determination 24 of the issues presented in the application. Because this petition challenges a denial of parole, the 25

26

and correct, he need not provide a second copy to the court, but may simply note his acceptance of

this transcript in his answer.

26