1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	LACEDRIC W. JOHNSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. Civ S-08-1609 KJM KJN P
12	VS.
13	D.K. SISTO, Warden, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On January 27, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations,
20	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
21	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Plaintiff has filed
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
24	304, this court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file,
25	the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the
26	proper analysis.
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed January 27, 2012, are adopted in full;
3	2. Defendant Shockley's June 7, 2011 motion to dismiss (Docket No. 94) is
4	granted;
5	3. Defendant Sisto's July 26, 2011 motion to dismiss (Docket No. 110) is
6	granted;
7	4. Defendant Deur's June 7, 2011 motion to dismiss (Docket No. 94) is granted;
8	and
9	5. Plaintiff's claims against defendants Sisto, Shockley and Deur are dismissed.
10	DATED: March 31, 2012.
11	
12	Amile
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	/john1609.805
	2