(HC) Kelsaw	aw v. Horel	
1	1	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
		ICT COLIDT
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		0 01/12 MCE CHC D
11		8-cv-01612-MCE-CHS P
12	, in the second of the second	_
13		<u> </u>
14	BOB HOREL,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254. On February 2, 2010, the United States Magistrate Judge formerly	
19	assigned to this case entered an order on various motions that were pending in this case. On	
20	February 18, 2010, petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's	
21	February 2, 2010 order to the extent it denied petitioner's motion for discovery, in which he	
22	sought leave to conduct discovery in this habeas corpus case. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule	
23	303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law."	
24	Upon review of this matter, the court finds that the magistrate judge's ruling was not clearly	
25	erroneous or contrary to law.	
26	6 /////	
	1	

Doc. 40

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the magistrate judge filed February 2, 2010 is affirmed, and petitioner's February 18, 2010 motion for reconsideration is denied.

Dated: August 5, 2010

MORRISON C. ENGLAND JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE