

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DIMAS O’CAMPO,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-08-1624 FCD DAD PS

vs.

RAGHBIR SINGH GHOMAN  
dba QUIK SHOP 2; GHOMAN’S  
PROPERTIES, LLC.,

ORDER

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

On July 29, 2009, the court granted defense counsel’s motion to withdraw as attorney of record for defendants. Defendant Raghbir Singh Ghoman was substituted in propria persona. Defendant Ghoman’s Properties, LLC was advised that a corporation may only appear through counsel and that failure to obtain new counsel may result in a default being entered against it. The case was referred to the assigned magistrate judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).

On October 6, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fifteen days. No party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

1           The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be  
2 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Although more than three  
3 months have passed since defendant Ghoman's Properties, LLC was advised that a corporation  
4 may not appear in propria persona, no appearance has been made by an attorney representing this  
5 defendant.


6           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

7           1. The findings and recommendations filed October 6, 2009 (Doc. No. 11) are  
8 adopted in full;

9           2. Defendants' answer filed October 10, 2008 is stricken to the extent that it was  
10 filed on behalf of defendant Ghoman's Properties, LLC, and the answer is deemed to be solely  
11 the answer of defendant Raghbir Singh Ghoman dba Quik Shop 2; and

12           3. The Clerk of the Court shall modify the docket to reflect that the answer filed  
13 October 10, 2008 (Doc. No. 5) is the answer solely of defendant Raghbir Singh Ghoman.

14 DATED: November 10, 2009.

15  
16   
17 FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.  
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26