O'Campo v. Ghoman et al

Doc. 57

Subsequently, the court reset the hearing on plaintiff's motion, originally set for March 15, 2013, to May 10, 2013. (ECF 45.) The court also ordered plaintiff to serve the motion on defense counsel and separately on defendants by mail. (ECF 45.) Plaintiff filed a certificate of service on both defense counsel and defendants on March 14. (ECF 46.) Plaintiff has filed an ex parte application requesting to contact defendants directly, explaining that plaintiff's attempts to contact defendants' counsel have been futile. (ECF 47.) Electronic service on Driscol of the ex parte application was also unsuccessful. (ECF 48.)

As plaintiff has already served the motion on defendants by mail and received no response, the court denies this request. In light of defendants' lack of response, however, the hearing set for May 10, 2013 is vacated under Local Rules 230(c) and 230(g).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 30, 2013.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE