

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL JEROME MITCHELL,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-08-1658 JAM DAD P

vs.

SNOWDEN, et al.,

Defendants.


ORDER

_____ /

Plaintiff has requested an extension of time to conduct discovery. At the time plaintiff drafted his pending request he did not have the benefit of the court's October 6, 2011 order. In that order, the court extended the deadline for the parties to conduct discovery until January 6, 2012. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time is therefore unnecessary.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (Doc. No. 65) is denied as unnecessary.

DATED: October 20, 2011.



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:9
mitc1658.36d(2)