

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

STANLEY SWENSON,

No. 2:08-CV-1675-JAM-CMK

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

SISKIYOU COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action. On June 15, 2011, the court entered final judgment. Plaintiff appealed and, on November 20, 2012, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued an unpublished memorandum disposition affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding the matter for further proceedings. The appellate court's mandate issued on April 15, 2013, returned jurisdiction to this court. A status/scheduling conference is hereby set before the undersigned on June 26, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. before the undersigned in Redding, California.

///
///
///

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. All parties shall appear by counsel or in person if acting without counsel.

3 Plaintiffs proceeding pro se must each appear at the status conference and are reminded that they
4 may not make appearances for other plaintiffs proceeding pro se.

5 2. The parties shall submit to the court and serve by mail on all other parties,
6 no later than seven (7) days before the conference, a status/scheduling report addressing the
7 following matters:

8 a. The potential for settlement and specific recommendations regarding
9 settlement procedures and timing, including whether a settlement conference should be
10 scheduled and if so when, and whether referral to the court’s Voluntary Dispute Resolution
11 Program (see Local Rule 271) is appropriate in this case;

12 b. Future proceedings, including setting appropriate cut-off dates for
13 additional discovery, if any, and law and motion, if any, and the scheduling of a pretrial
14 conference and trial;

15 c. Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules due
16 to the relative simplicity or complexity of the action or proceedings;

17 d. Whether the case is related to any other case, including matters in
18 bankruptcy;

19 e. Whether the counsel will stipulate to the magistrate judge assigned to
20 this matter acting as settlement judge and waiving any disqualifications by virtue of her so
21 acting, or whether they prefer to have a Settlement Conference before another judge; and

22 f. Any other matters that may add to the just and expeditious disposition
23 of this matter.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 3. Plaintiff and defense counsel are reminded of their continuing duty to
2 notify chambers immediately of any settlement or other disposition (see Local Rule 160). In
3 addition, the parties are cautioned that pursuant to Local Rule 230(c), opposition to the granting
4 of a motion must be filed fourteen days preceding the noticed hearing date. The Rule further
5 provides that “[n]o party will be entitled to be heard in opposition to a motion at oral arguments
6 if written opposition to the motion has not been timely filed by that party.” Finally, Local Rule
7 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules “may be grounds for imposition by the
8 Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the
9 Court.”

10
11 DATED: May 7, 2013

12 
13 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26