1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	DEVONTE B. HARRIS,	No. 2:08-cv-1711-EFB P	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	V.	ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
13	KEITH HIGGINS, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, is proceeding pro se with this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C.		
17	§ 1983. The court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement conference.		
18	Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman to conduct a		
19	settlement conference at California State Prison, Sacramento, 100 Prison Road, Represa,		
20	California 95671 on August 21, 2017 at 9:00 a.m.		
21	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
22	1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Kendall J.		
23	Newman on August 21, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. at California State Prison, Sacramento, 100		
24	Prison Road, Represa, California 95671.		
25	2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding		
26	settlement on the defendants' behalf shall attend in person. ¹		
27	¹ While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to		
28	order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences" United States		

1

28

1	3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.		
2	The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in		
3	person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not		
4	proceed and will be reset to another date.		
5	4. The parties are directed to exchange non-confidential settlement statements seven days		
6	prior to the settlement conference. These statements shall simultaneously be delivered		
7	to the Court using the following email address: kjnorders@caed.uscourts.gov.		
8	Plaintiff shall mail his non-confidential settlement statement to arrive not less than		
9	seven days prior to the settlement conference, addressed to Magistrate Judge Kendall		
10	J. Newman, USDC CAED, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, CA 95814. The		
11	envelope shall be marked "Settlement Statement." If a party desires to share		
12	additional confidential information with the Court, they may do so pursuant to the		
13	provisions of Local Rule 270(d) and (e).		
14	DATED: June 27, 2017.		
15	Elming F. Bieman		
16	EĎMUND F. BŘĚNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9 th Cir.		
23	2012)("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to		
24	fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G.</u> <u>Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.</u> , 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7 th Cir. 1989), <u>cited with approval in Official</u>		
25	At the C the Lee C $(12011285, 1206)$ (the C (1002) The first that the first the state of the		
26	Brinker Int'l Inc. 216 E.P.D. 481, 485,86 (D. Ariz, 2003) amonded on recon in part Pitman y Brinker Int'l Inc.		
27 28	authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u> , 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. <u>Nick v. Morgan's Foods</u> , Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8 th Cir. 2001).		
20	2		