(HC) Jones v	s v. Martel	
1		
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	MICHAEL JONES,	
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S	-08-1933 JAM KJM P
12	2 vs.	
13	WARDEN MARTEL,	
14	4 Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>	
15		
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
19	On December 8, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither	
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26	6 /////	
	1	

Doc. 25

1. The findings and recommendations filed December 8, 2009, are adopted in full; 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (#12) is denied; and 3. Respondent is granted thirty days in which to file his answer. DATED: January 22, 2010 /s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE