

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHEVONDA AUTAR,	)	2:08-cv-01934-GEB-EFB
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<u>STATUS (PRETRIAL</u>
	)	<u>SCHEDULING) ORDER</u>
v.	)	
	)	
ROYCE NEWCOMB; KATHLEEN NEWCOMB,	)	
a/k/a Kathy Newcomb; RK FORECLOSURE)	)	
SPECIALISTS,	)	
	)	
Defendants. <sup>1</sup>	)	
_____	)	

The status (pretrial scheduling) conference scheduled for January 20, 2009, is vacated since the Joint Status Report ("JSR") indicates that the following Order should issue.

SANCTION ORDER DISCHARGED

Plaintiff Chevonda Autar unexpectedly responded to an Order to Show Cause why sanctions should not be imposed which was filed on December 5, 2008. It is clear that Plaintiff Autar's former attorney Nathaniel Dale Potratz should have been sanctioned, but nothing in the record indicates that sanctions should be imposed against Plaintiff Autar. Therefore, that portion of the Order to Show Cause is

---

<sup>1</sup> The caption has been amended according to the Dismissal of Doe Defendants portion of this Order.

1 discharged; meaning, Plaintiff Autar will not be sanctioned for what  
2 was at issue in the Order filed December 5, 2008.

3 DISMISSAL OF DOE DEFENDANTS

4 Since Plaintiff has not justified Doe defendants remaining  
5 in this action, Does 1 through 10 are dismissed. See Order Setting  
6 Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference filed August 19, 2008, at 2  
7 n.2 (indicating that if Plaintiff or Plaintiffs fail to set forth in  
8 the JSR a date and specific information by when the identities of any  
9 "Doe" defendants are expected to be discovered, any claim against such  
10 Doe defendants would be deemed abandoned and a dismissal order would  
11 follow).

12 SERVICE, JOINDER OF ADDITIONAL PARTIES, AMENDMENT

13 No further service, joinder of parties or amendments to  
14 pleadings is permitted, except with leave of Court, good cause having  
15 been shown.

16 DISCOVERY

17 All discovery shall be completed by October 21, 2009. In  
18 this context, "completed" means that all discovery shall have been  
19 conducted so that all depositions have been taken and any disputes  
20 relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate orders,  
21 if necessary, and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has  
22 been complied with or, alternatively, the time allowed for such  
23 compliance shall have expired.<sup>2</sup>

---

24  
25 <sup>2</sup> The parties are advised that the Magistrate Judges in the  
26 Eastern District are responsible for resolving discovery disputes. See  
27 Local Rule 72-302(c)(1). Accordingly, counsel shall direct all  
28 discovery-related matters to the Magistrate Judge assigned to this case.  
A party conducting discovery near the discovery "completion" date runs  
the risk of losing the opportunity to have a judge resolve discovery  
motions pursuant to the Local Rules.

1 Each party shall comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
2 26(a)(2)'s initial expert witness disclosure and report requirements  
3 on or before May 22, 2009, and with any rebuttal expert disclosure  
4 authorized under the Rule on or before June 22, 2009.

5 MOTION HEARING SCHEDULE

6 The last hearing date for motions shall be December 21,  
7 2009, at 9:00 a.m.<sup>3</sup>

8 Motions shall be filed in accordance with Local Rule 78-  
9 230(b). Opposition papers shall be filed in accordance with Local  
10 Rule 78-230(c). Failure to comply with this local rule may be deemed  
11 consent to the motion and the Court may dispose of the motion  
12 summarily. Brydges v. Lewis, 18 F.3d 651, 652-53 (9th Cir. 1994).  
13 Further, failure to timely oppose a summary judgment motion may result  
14 in the granting of that motion if the movant shifts the burden to the  
15 nonmovant to demonstrate a genuine issue of material fact remains for  
16 trial. Cf. Marshall v. Gates, 44 F.3d 722 (9th Cir. 1995).

17 Absent highly unusual circumstances, reconsideration of a  
18 motion is appropriate only where:

- 19 (1) The Court is presented with newly discovered evidence  
20 that could not reasonably have been discovered prior to the filing of  
21 the party's motion or opposition papers;  
22 (2) The Court committed clear error or the initial decision  
23 was manifestly unjust; or  
24 (3) There is an intervening change in controlling law.  
25  
26

---

27 <sup>3</sup> This time deadline does not apply to motions for continuances,  
28 temporary restraining orders, emergency applications, or motions under  
Rule 16(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

1 A motion for reconsideration based on newly discovered evidence shall  
2 set forth, in detail, the reason why said evidence could not  
3 reasonably have been discovered prior to the filing of the party's  
4 motion or opposition papers. Motions for reconsideration shall comply  
5 with Local Rule 78-230(k) in all other respects.

6 The parties are cautioned that an untimely motion  
7 characterized as a motion in limine may be summarily denied. A motion  
8 in limine addresses the admissibility of evidence.

9 FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

10 The final pretrial conference is set for February 16, 2010,  
11 at 1:30 p.m. The parties are cautioned that the lead attorney who  
12 WILL TRY THE CASE for each party shall attend the final pretrial  
13 conference. In addition, all persons representing themselves and  
14 appearing in propria persona must attend the pretrial conference.

15 The parties are warned that non-trial worthy issues could be  
16 eliminated sua sponte "[i]f the pretrial conference discloses that no  
17 material facts are in dispute and that the undisputed facts entitle  
18 one of the parties to judgment as a matter of law." Portsmouth Square  
19 v. S'holders Protective Comm., 770 F.2d 866, 869 (9th Cir. 1985).

20 The parties shall file a JOINT pretrial statement no later  
21 than seven (7) calendar days prior to the final pretrial conference.<sup>4</sup>  
22 The joint pretrial statement shall specify the issues for trial and  
23  
24

---

25 <sup>4</sup> The failure of one or more of the parties to participate in  
26 the preparation of any joint document required to be filed in this case  
27 does not excuse the other parties from their obligation to timely file  
28 the document in accordance with this Order. In the event a party fails  
to participate as ordered, the party or parties timely submitting the  
document shall include a declaration explaining why they were unable to  
obtain the cooperation of the other party.

1 shall estimate the length of the trial.<sup>5</sup> The Court uses the parties'  
2 joint pretrial statement to prepare its final pretrial order and could  
3 issue the final pretrial order without holding the scheduled final  
4 pretrial conference. See Mizwicki v. Helwig, 196 F.3d 828, 833 (7th  
5 Cir. 1999) ("There is no requirement that the court hold a pretrial  
6 conference."). The final pretrial order supersedes the pleadings and  
7 controls the facts and issues which may be presented at trial. Issues  
8 asserted in pleadings which are not preserved for trial in the final  
9 pretrial order cannot be raised at trial. Hotel Emp., et al. Health  
10 Tr. v. Elks Lodge 1450, 827 F.2d 1324, 1329 (9th Cir. 1987) ("Issues  
11 not preserved in the pretrial order are eliminated from the action.");  
12 Valley Ranch Dev. Co. v. F.D.I.C., 960 F.2d 550, 554 (5th Cir. 1992)  
13 (indicating that an issue omitted from the pretrial order is waived,  
14 even if it appeared in the pleading); cf. Raney v. Dist. of Columbia,  
15 892 F. Supp. 283 (D.D.C. 1995) (refusing to modify the pretrial order  
16 to allow assertion of a previously-pled statute of limitations  
17 defense); Olympia Co. v. Celotex Corp., 597 F. Supp. 285, 289 (E.D.  
18 La. 1984) (indicating that "[a]ny factual contention, legal  
19 contention, any claim for relief or defense in whole or in part, or  
20 affirmative matter not set forth in [the pretrial statement] shall be  
21 deemed . . . withdrawn, notwithstanding the contentions of any  
22 pleadings or other papers previously filed [in the action]").

23 If possible, at the time of filing the joint pretrial  
24 statement counsel shall also email it in a format compatible with  
25 WordPerfect to: geborders@caed.uscourts.gov.


---

27 <sup>5</sup> **The joint pretrial statement shall also state how much time**  
28 **each party desires for voir dire, opening statements, and closing**  
**arguments.**

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

The parties are reminded that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b), the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order **shall not be modified except by leave of Court upon a showing of good cause.** Counsel are cautioned that a mere stipulation by itself to change dates does not constitute good cause.

Dated: January 8, 2009

  
GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
United States District Judge