

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 LUCAS NOEL DEFRANTZE,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-08-1984 JAM DAD P

12 vs.

13 JOHN MCGINNESS,

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, currently confined at the Sacramento County Main Jail, has filed an
17 application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to
18 a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order
19 No. 262.

20 On November 13, 2008, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any
22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner
23 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire

26 /////

1 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
2 proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 13, 2008, are adopted in
5 full;

6 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is dismissed for failure to
7 state a cognizable federal claim; and

8 3. This action is closed.

9 DATED: January 14, 2009

10
11 /s/ John A. Mendez

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13

14 /defr1984.804hc
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26