(HC) Cook v. Sisto 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA JEFFRY IAN COOK, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-08-2025- FCD GGH P 12 VS. 13 D.K. SISTO, et al., 14 Respondents. **ORDER** 15 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas 16 17 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner challenges the 2007 decision by the California 18 Board of Parole Hearings (BPH) finding him unsuitable for parole. On March 26, 2009, the 19 undersigned issued an order to show cause why this action should not be administratively stayed pending the Ninth Circuit's decision in Hayward v. Marshall, 512 F.3d 536 (9th Cir. 2008), reh'g 20 en banc granted, __ F.3d __, No. 06-55392 (9th Cir. filed May 16, 2008). Both parties agreed 21 22 that a stay was appropriate. 23 In March 2009, this court presumed that a decision in Hayward would be shortly 24 forthcoming. However, nearly five months have passed since that time and Hayward is still 25 pending. It is not now clear when a decision in Hayward will be issued. Under these 26 circumstances, staying this action could result in a lengthy delay in the disposition of petitioner's

Doc. 21

claims. In addition, judicial economy is not promoted by a stay. For these reasons, the order to show cause to stay the petition is vacated Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the March 26, 2009, order to show cause is vacated and the petition is deemed submitted as of January 20, 2009. DATED: August 13, 2009 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows **GREGORY G. HOLLOWS** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE GGH:AB cook2025.vac