25 outcome of a related criminal investigation against claimants Sirope 26 and Mansour. The stay currently in effect expires February 1, 27 2010.

28

- 1. Claimant Sirope and Mansour filed a claim to the defendant property on October 20, 2008, and filed an Answer to the complaint on November 6, 2008. Claimant Universal Bank filed its claim and answer on September 24, 2008.
- 2. The stay is requested pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(q)(1) and 981(g)(2). The plaintiff contends that Sirope and Mansour and other conspired to defraud the California Board of Equalization out of excise taxes due on the sale of tobacco products in California. The plaintiff alleges that the U.S. mail and interstate communications systems were used to perpetrate the fraud. 11 Sirope and Mansour deny these allegations, and claimant Universal 12 Bank contends it is an innocent owner within the meaning of the 13 federal forfeiture statutes.
- 3. To date claimants Sirope and Mansour, the owners of the 15 property, have not been charged with any criminal offense by state, 16 local, or federal authorities, and the statute of limitations has 17 not expired on potential criminal charges relating to the fraud Nevertheless, the plaintiff intends to depose Sirope and 18 19 Mansour regarding their claim to the defendant property, their 20 operation of Pay-Less Wholesale Tobacco, and their business dealings 21 with others in the tobacco industry. If discovery proceeds at this time, Sirope and Mansour will be placed in the difficult position of 23 either invoking their Fifth Amendment rights against self-24 incrimination and losing the ability to pursue their claim to the 25 defendant real property, or waiving their Fifth Amendment right and 26 submitting to a deposition and potentially incriminating themselves. If either person invokes his Fifth Amendment right, the plaintiff

22

1

14

will be deprived of the ability to explore the factual basis for the claims they filed with this court.

- 4. In addition, claimants intend to depose the law enforcement agents involved in this investigation. Allowing depositions of the law enforcement officers at this time would adversely affect the ability of federal authorities to investigate the underlying criminal conduct.
- 5. The parties recognize that proceeding with this action at this time has potential adverse affects on the investigation of the underlying criminal conduct and/or upon claimant's ability to prove his claim to the property and assert any defenses to forfeiture.

 For these reasons, the parties jointly request that this matter be stayed for six months. At that time the parties will advise the court of the status of the criminal investigation, if any, and will advise the court whether a further stay is necessary.

```
Dated: January 29, 2010 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney
```

17

18

19

3

7

By /s/ Kristin S. Door
KRISTIN S. DOOR
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

21

20

22 Dated: January 26, 2010 GERAGOS & GERAGOS

23

24

25

By <u>/s/ Mark J. Geragos</u>

MARK J. GERAGOS

Attorneys for claimants

Adib Sirope and Rimoun Mansour

26 /

27 1/

28

1	Dated: January 29, 2010 HURON LAW GROUP
2	Dr. /a/ Joffman Junon
3	By <u>/s/ Jeffrey Huron</u> JEFFREY HURON Attorneys for claimant Universal Bank
5	(Original signatures retained by Plaintiff's counsel)
7	ORDER
8	For the reasons set forth above, this matter is stayed pursuant
9	to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(g)(1) and 981(g)(2) for an additional six
10	months. On or before August 1, 2010, the parties will advise the
11	court whether a further stay is necessary.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	Dated: January 29, 2010
15	
	/s/ John A. Mendez
16	U. S. District Court Judge
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	4