1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 MICHAEL J. LANDI, 10 Petitioner, No. CIV S-08-2099 LKK GGH P 11 VS. MIKE MARTELL, 12 13 Respondent. ORDER 14 15 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no 16 absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 17 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at 18 any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing 19 § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be 20 served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's January 30, 2009 22 request for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a 23 later stage of the proceedings. DATED: February 6, 2009 24 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows 25 GREGORY G. HOLLOWS 26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE GGH:md; land2099.110

(HC) Landi v. Martell

Doc. 16