(HC) Goings v. Sisto 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ----00000----11 GEORGE GOINGS, 12 NO. CIV. 2:08-2135 WBS Plaintiff, 13 ORDER v. 14 D. K. SISTO; et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 ----00000----18 19 It appearing that plaintiff's failure to file his 20 notice of appeal within 30 days from the entry of judgment was the result of excusable neglect; 21 22 It further appearing that plaintiff's request for an extension of time to file notice of appeal was made within 30 23 24 days from the entry of judgment; and It further appearing that defendants would not be 25 26 prejudiced by allowing plaintiff to file his notice of appeal as 27 of February 8, 2010, and that the ends of justice would thereby 28 be served;

Doc. 25

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to appeal pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(5) be, and the same hereby is GRANTED;

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the clerk of this court forthwith serve a copy of this order on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and mail copies of this order directly to the chambers of Circuit Judges Reinhardt, Tashima and Wardlaw.

DATED: August 11, 2010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE