

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDDIE ORTIZ, JR.,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-08-2165-JAM-TJB

vs.

JAMES A. YATES,

Respondent.

ORDER

_____ /

Petitioner requests a thirty day extension of time to file a notice of appeal of the January 14, 2011, order denying his application for writ of habeas corpus. Petitioner states he needs the extra time because, *inter alia*, he is “untrained in the law,” can only make copies in the prison law library open once a week, is “[i]lliterate on computers,” and is subject to “numerous rolling lockdowns due to budget cuts and shortage of staff.” Pet’r’s Mot., Jan. 28, 2011, ECF No. 33 (internal quotation marks omitted).

Based upon Petitioner’s representations, the request for additional time will be granted. Petitioner shall comply with the requirements of Rule 3(c)(1) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure relative to his notice of appeal.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner’s January 28, 2011, request for an extension of time to file a notice of

1 appeal is granted; and

2 2. Petitioner has an additional thirty days from the date of this order to file a notice of
3 appeal of the January 14, 2011, order denying his petition for writ of habeas corpus.

4

5

6

7 DATED: February 2, 2011.

8

9



TIMOTHY J BOMMER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26